

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/719,506	FITCH, MICHAEL K.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jermie Cozart	<b>Art Unit</b> 3726	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) Jermie Cozart.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Frank Lachenmaier.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 October 2005

**Time:** 4:15pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*1 and 5*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone conversation with Frank Lachenmaier, Mr. Lachenmaier agreed to amend claims 1 and 5 by examiner's amendment to correct minor informalities in order to place the application in condition for allowance. In addition, Mr. Lachenmaier agreed to amend the drawings by inserting missing reference numeral "44" into the appropriate figure.